

Concurrency & Parallelism 2

Jack Duvall

Carnegie Mellon University



Outline

1 Async/Await

- 2 The Future Trait
- 3 Pin Type
- 4 Async Reactors

5 Backup

- Async Traits
- Generic Over Async?

Outline

1 Async/Await

- 2 The Future Trait
- **3** Pin Type
- **4** Async Reactors
- 5 Backup
 - Async Traits
 - Generic Over Async?

(some content taken from The Rust Async Book) "Async implies Concurrent Programming"

(some content taken from The Rust Async Book) "Async implies Concurrent Programming"

Could be parallelized if you wanted to, but isn't *explicitly* parallel

(some content taken from The Rust Async Book) "Async implies Concurrent Programming"

Could be parallelized if you wanted to, but isn't *explicitly* parallel

(some content taken from The Rust Async Book) "Async implies Concurrent Programming"

Could be parallelized if you wanted to, but isn't *explicitly* parallel

Models for concurrency:

OS Threads

(some content taken from The Rust Async Book) "Async implies Concurrent Programming"

Could be parallelized if you wanted to, but isn't *explicitly* parallel

- OS Threads
- Event driven (event loops and callbacks)

(some content taken from The Rust Async Book) "Async implies Concurrent Programming"

Could be parallelized if you wanted to, but isn't *explicitly* parallel

- OS Threads
- Event driven (event loops and callbacks)
- Actor based

(some content taken from The Rust Async Book) "Async implies Concurrent Programming"

• Could be parallelized if you wanted to, but isn't *explicitly* parallel

- OS Threads
- Event driven (event loops and callbacks)
- Actor based
- Coroutines

Managed by the OS, therefore expensive

- Managed by the OS, therefore expensive
- Significantly change code structure

- Managed by the OS, therefore expensive
- Significantly change code structure
 - Build around race conditions

- Managed by the OS, therefore expensive
- Significantly change code structure
 - Build around race conditions
 - Explicitly join threads/subprocesses

Drawbacks of Callbacks

fetch("https://example.com/thingy").then(function (r) {
 // do something with r.status and r.data
});

Drawbacks of Callbacks

fetch("https://example.com/thingy").then(function (r) {
 // do something with r.status and r.data
});

Can be verbose, especially when nesting

Drawbacks of Callbacks

```
fetch("https://example.com/thingy").then(function (r) {
    // do something with r.status and r.data
});
```

- Can be verbose, especially when nesting
- Loops/other control flow is tricky or done outside the core language

Built into the language

Built into the language

"Zero-cost"

- Built into the language
- "Zero-cost"
- Flexible choice of runtime

Async Example: Network

```
async fn heartbeat(client: ClientConn) -> Result<(), ConnError> {
    loop {
        client.send("ping").await?;
        if client.recv().await? != "pong" { break; }
     }
     Ok(())
}
```

Async Example: Concurrency

Playground Link:

```
#[async_recursion::async_recursion]
async fn reduce max<T: Ord + Sync>(arr: &[T], lo: usize, hi: usize)
-> &T {
    if lo == hi { return &arr[lo]; }
   let mi = lo + (hi - lo) / 2:
   let fut lo = reduce max(arr, lo, mi);
   let fut hi = reduce max(arr, mi+1, hi);
   let (res lo, res hi) = futures::join!(fut lo, fut hi);
   match res lo.cmp(res hi) {
        std::cmp::Ordering::Less => res_hi,
       => res lo.
    }
```

Async Example: Concurrent Network

```
let listener = TcpListener::bind("127.0.0.1:6379").await.unwrap();
loop {
    let (socket, _) = listener.accept().await.unwrap();
    tokio::spawn(async move {
        process(socket).await;
     });
}
```

Async is cooperative

- Async is cooperative
 - CPU-heavy work may block other coroutines

- Async is cooperative
 - CPU-heavy work may block other coroutines
 - Not yielding via await will block other coroutines

- Async is cooperative
 - CPU-heavy work may block other coroutines
 - Not yielding via await will block other coroutines
- Lots of tricky type and trait errors!

Outline

1 Async/Await

2 The Future Trait

3 Pin Type

4 Async Reactors

5 Backup

- Async Traits
- Generic Over Async?

Under The Hood Of Async

```
trait Future {
    type Output;
    fn poll(self: Pin<&mut Self>, cx: &mut Context<'_>)
      -> Poll<Self::Output>;
}1
enum Poll<T> {
    Ready(T),
    Pending,
```

Under The Hood Of Async

```
trait Future {
    type Output;
    fn poll(self: Pin<&mut Self>, cx: &mut Context<'_>)
      -> Poll<Self::Output>;
}1
enum Poll<T> {
    Ready(T),
    Pending,
```

If a type implements Future, you can use the await syntax with it!

Under The Hood Of Async

```
trait Future {
    type Output;
    fn poll(self: Pin<&mut Self>, cx: &mut Context<'_>)
      -> Poll<Self::Output>;
}1
enum Poll<T> {
    Ready(T),
    Pending,
```

If a type implements Future, you can use the await syntax with it!

Pin, Context: we'll get to these later

Even Further Under The Hood

How does Rust even turn an async fn into a Future?

Even Further Under The Hood

How does Rust even turn an async fn into a Future?

State Machines!
Even Further Under The Hood

How does Rust even turn an async fn into a Future?

- State Machines!
- Each time you await another future, all the variables that could be used in later execution are saved into the current state

Example Async Function

```
async fn heartbeat inc(client: ClientConn) -> Result<(), ConnError> {
    let mut i = 0i32;
    loop {
        let i_string = i.to string();
        client.send(&i_string).await?;
        if client.recv().await? != &i_string { break; }
        i = i.wrapping_add(1);
    }
    Ok(())
```

Outline

1 Async/Await

2 The Future Trait

3 Pin Type

4 Async Reactors

5 Backup

- Async Traits
- Generic Over Async?

Pin<P> is a type with impls for P: Deref and/or P: DerefMut

Pin<P> is a type with impls for P: Deref and/or P: DerefMut

P is "pointer-like"; Deref and DerefMut control what happens when you do *p

Pin<P> is a type with impls for P: Deref and/or P: DerefMut

- P is "pointer-like"; Deref and DerefMut control what happens when you do *p
- Examples: &T, &mut T, Box<T>, Rc<T>, Arc<T>

- Pin<P> is a type with impls for P: Deref and/or P: DerefMut
 - P is "pointer-like"; Deref and DerefMut control what happens when you do *p
 - Examples: &T, &mut T, Box<T>, Rc<T>, Arc<T>
- Guarantee: "In a Pin<P>, the value pointed to by P will have a stable location in memory, and is only deallocated when P is dropped"

- Pin<P> is a type with impls for P: Deref and/or P: DerefMut
 - P is "pointer-like"; Deref and DerefMut control what happens when you do *p
 - Examples: &T, &mut T, Box<T>, Rc<T>, Arc<T>
- Guarantee: "In a Pin<P>, the value pointed to by P will have a stable location in memory, and is only deallocated when P is dropped"
- How it's enforced: Pin<&mut T> is not a &mut T! This limits what you can do with it

Example Of Pin Doing Something

```
fn take1(v: &mut Option<String>) -> Option<String> {
    v.take()
}
fn take2(v: Pin<&mut Option<String>>) -> Option<String> {
    v.take() // compiler error!
}
```

When P: Deref isn't Unpin, the only way to get one is:

pub unsafe fn new_unchecked(pointer: P) -> Pin<P>

When P: Deref isn't Unpin, the only way to get one is:

pub unsafe fn new_unchecked(pointer: P) -> Pin<P>

Compiler can't guarantee data will stay pinned (that's what the type is for!)

When P: Deref isn't Unpin, the only way to get one is:

pub unsafe fn new_unchecked(pointer: P) -> Pin<P>

Compiler can't guarantee data will stay pinned (that's what the type is for!)

Have to prove safety for yourself, or

When P: Deref isn't Unpin, the only way to get one is:

pub unsafe fn new_unchecked(pointer: P) -> Pin<P>

- Compiler can't guarantee data will stay pinned (that's what the type is for!)
- Have to prove safety for yourself, or
- Use convenience wrappers (Box::pin, std::pin::pin!) with proven safety

Some type **cannot** be self-referential; these implement Unpin

Some type **cannot** be self-referential; these implement Unpin

bool, i32, f64, etc.

Some type **cannot** be self-referential; these implement Unpin

bool, i32, f64, etc.

• When <P as Deref>::Target: Unpin, Pin<P> guarantees can be relaxed

Outline

1 Async/Await

- 2 The Future Trait
- **3** Pin Type

4 Async Reactors

- 5 Backup
 - Async Traits
 - Generic Over Async?

How Do We Run Futures?

(Content taken from Tokio's Async Tutorital) Recall: Futures just have a poll method. So let's call that in a loop! This actually just works!

```
fn run(mut fut: impl Future<Output = ()>, cx: &mut Context) {
    let fut = pin!(fut);
    loop {
        if let Poll::Ready(()) = fut.poll(cx) {
            break;
        }
    }
}
```

Ok But How Do We Actually Run Futures?

Use a pre-built Async Reactor like the ones in tokio, futures::executor, or async-std

```
#[tokio::main]
async fn main() {
    // Now you can call async functions in here!
}
```

Back to low-level stuff >:)

Back to low-level stuff >:)

Ideally, if you get Poll::Pending, only poll again likely to return Poll::Ready

Back to low-level stuff >:)

- Ideally, if you get Poll::Pending, only poll again likely to return Poll::Ready
- How to know when it's likely to return Poll::Ready?

Back to low-level stuff >:)

- Ideally, if you get Poll::Pending, only poll again likely to return Poll::Ready
- How to know when it's likely to return Poll::Ready?

Wakers!

Waker: Clone + Send + Sync + Unpin

Waker: Clone + Send + Sync + Unpin

Calling .wake() signals the async reactor to poll the Future again.

- Waker: Clone + Send + Sync + Unpin
- **Calling** .wake() signals the async reactor to poll the Future again.
- Context's only job is to hold a Waker

Future Example Using A Waker

```
impl Future for Delay {
    fn poll(self: Pin<&mut Self>, cx: &mut Context<'_>) -> Poll<()> {
        if Instant::now() >= self.when { Poll::Ready(()) } else {
            let waker = cx.waker().clone():
            let when = self.when;
            thread::spawn(move || {
                let now = Instant::now():
                if now < when { thread::sleep(when - now); }</pre>
                waker.wake():
            });
            Poll::Pending
    }
```

Types implementing Future must be .await-ed

Types implementing Future must be .await-ed

Use async fn to make a function-like future, letting you use .await inside

- Types implementing Future must be .await-ed
- Use async fn to make a function-like future, letting you use .await inside
- Use an async runtime like tokio to run your top-level async fn main()

Homework

Work on the final

Homework

Work on the final Ask us anything!
Outline

1 Async/Await

2 The Future Trait

3 Pin Type

4 Async Reactors

5 Backup

- Async Traits
- Generic Over Async?

You Can't Have async fn In Traits (for now)

```
trait Webserver {
    async fn handle(&self, r: Request) -> Response;
}
```

This only recently got Nightly support, and it's still incomplete, why is this so hard?

You Can't Have async fn In Traits (for now)

```
trait Webserver {
    async fn handle(&self, r: Request) -> Response;
}
```

This only recently got Nightly support, and it's still incomplete, why is this so hard?

Short Answer: async fn only guarantees a trait, not a type

You Can't Have async fn In Traits (for now)

```
trait Webserver {
    async fn handle(&self, r: Request) -> Response;
}
```

This only recently got Nightly support, and it's still incomplete, why is this so hard?

- Short Answer: async fn only guarantees a trait, not a type
- Long Answer: mostly stolen from Niko Matsakis' Blog

async fn Is Syntatic Sugar For This

```
trait Webserver {
    fn handle(&self, r: Request) ->
        impl Future<Output = Response> + '_;
}
```

It Gets Funkier

```
trait Webserver {
   type HandleFuture<'a>: Future<Output = Response> + 'a;
   fn handle(&'a self, r: Request) -> Self::HandleFuture<'a>;
}
```

Unresolved Considerations

What if you wanted to constrain futures returned by an implementation?

Unresolved Considerations

What if you wanted to constrain futures returned by an implementation?

• We needed to know the name of the associated type. Is it auto-generated? Do people always need to desugar manually?

Unresolved Considerations

What if you wanted to constrain futures returned by an implementation?

```
fn launch_on_multiple_threads<W>(webserver: W)
where W: Webserver,
        for<'a> W::HandleFuture<'a>: Send
{
        // `Send` lets us share futures returned by
        // `webserver.handle(r)` between threads
}
```

- We needed to know the name of the associated type. Is it auto-generated? Do people always need to desugar manually?
- If you use a lot of futures, there's a lot more Send bounds you need; is there a better way to combine them all?

Even More Considerations

If you use regular generics, many copies of code are made. Could be better to force the use of trait objects:

```
trait Webserver {
    fn handle(&self, r: Request) ->
        dyn Future<Output = Response> + '_;
}
```

Even More Considerations

If you use regular generics, many copies of code are made. Could be better to force the use of trait objects:

```
trait Webserver {
    fn handle(&self, r: Request) ->
        dyn Future<Output = Response> + '_;
}
```

New problem: now the return type isn't Sized (don't know the size at compile time), so we can't generate code!

Even More Considerations

If you use regular generics, many copies of code are made. Could be better to force the use of trait objects:

```
trait Webserver {
    fn handle(&self, r: Request) ->
        dyn Future<Output = Response> + '_;
}
```

New problem: now the return type isn't Sized (don't know the size at compile time), so we can't generate code! Need a wrapper, but how to choose between Box, Arc, others?

A Good Enough Solution: async-trait Crate

Applying **#[async_trait]** to the original trait with an **async fn** results in the following desugaring:

```
trait Webserver {
    fn handle(&self, r: Request) ->
        Pin<Box<dyn Future<Output=Response> + Send + '_>>;
}
```

A Good Enough Solution: async-trait Crate

Applying **#[async_trait]** to the original trait with an **async fn** results in the following desugaring:

```
trait Webserver {
    fn handle(&self, r: Request) ->
        Pin<Box<dyn Future<Output=Response> + Send + '_>>;
}
```

mm delicous type + trait soup

So You Give A Crab A Coroutine...

... and suddenly they demand to write all their library code with async! Examples:

```
trait Mappable {
   type Item;
   fn map(&mut self, f: impl Fn(&mut Self::Item));
   async fn map_async<F, Fut>(&mut self, f: F)
   where
        F: Fn(&mut Self::Item) -> Fut,
        Fut: Future<Output=()>;
}
```

Async Leads To Code Duplication(?)

```
impl<T: Sized> Mappable for Vec<T> {
    type Item = T;
    fn map(&mut self, f: impl Fn(&mut Self::Item)) {
        for x in self.iter mut() {
            f(x):
    }
    async fn map async<F, Fut>(&mut self, f: F)
    where
        F: Fn(&mut Self::Item) -> Fut,
        Fut: Future<Output=()>
    {
        for x in self.iter mut() {
            f(x).await:
```

"Let's Solve This Problem"

```
trait Mappable {
    type Item;
    ?async fn map(&mut self, f: impl ?async Fn(&mut Self::Item));
}
impl<T> Mappable for Vec<T> {
    ?async fn map(&mut self, f: impl ?async Fn(&mut Self::Item)) {
        for x in self.iter mut() {
            f(x).await:
        }
    7
```

"Wow so much better!"

Wait, Do We Actually Need This?

There was a lot of pushback in the community at this proposal. Questions of whether it would be a better idea to just have a "possibly async" trait that implementors could opt-in to or whether the main Asynclterator usecase was even a good idea. both of the above blogs have lots of other posts talking about this, very good reads